CMD 22-H2.28

File / dossier : 6.01.07 Date: 2022-03-08 Edocs: 6753135

Written submission from **Mary Hatt**

Mémoire de **Mary Hatt**

In the Matter of the

À l'égard de la

New Brunswick Power Corporation, Point Lepreau Nuclear Generating Station

Société d'Énergie du Nouveau-Brunswick, centrale nucléaire de Point Lepreau

Application for the renewal of NB Power's licence for the Point Lepreau Nuclear **Generating Station**

Demande de renouvellement du permis d'Énergie NB pour la centrale nucléaire de Point Lepreau

Commission Public Hearing Part 2

Audience publique de la Commission Partie 2

May 11 and 12, 2022

11 et 12 mai 2022



From: Mary Hatt

Sent: March 8, 2022 11:02 PM

To: cnsc.interventions.ccsn@canada.ca

Subject: 25 year renewal Point Lepreau Nuclear Generating Station

Hello, I am a concerned mother and grandmother. I ask that my voice be added to those who oppose a 25 year renewal for the Point Lepreau Generating Station.

Firstly, 25 years is a very long time without any public input, it is fair and wise to say that the voices of upcoming generations should not be disregarded, particularly at a time when climate change is rapid and technological advancements are as well.

Secondly, the Bay of Fundy is affected by the generating plant and is disrupting marine life, a 25 year renewal may mean that regular assessments of the decline in the marine life may be forfeited. Adding to the climate change are the emissions into the air and water from the nuclear plant. Every effort should be made to protect the ecosystem of the Bay of Fundy.

Thirdly, the Point Lepreau Generating Plant is a financial noose around the necks of the people of this province of New Brunswick. 25 more years is an extremely long time to burden the next generations of people, a 5 year renewal is reasonable and plan for decommissioning over those 5 years.

Fourthly, the station is located on Indigenous land and needs to be respected as such, the indigenous people DO have rights and voices that no longer can be ignored and silenced.

Fifthly, we are living in perilous days in this world, and a nuclear plant possibly could be a target in this corner of the globe, perhaps the time is here to begin to seriously contemplate all possible scenarios that would adversely affect life here. Health and safety should be first considerations, not last.

There is more I could say, but I will conclude by saying that is reasonable to ask for a 5 year relicensing period, I have taken this opportunity to express my opinion on behalf of my children and grandchildren, who I believe have a right to information about a nuclear station with many uncertainties ahead.

Than you for reading this email,

Mary Hatt, Quispamsis, N.B.