



**Written submission from
Henry Kowalski and Michael Stone**

**Mémoire de
Henry Kowalski et Michael Stone**

In the Matter of the

À l'égard de

Canadian Nuclear Laboratories

Laboratoires Nucléaires Canadiens

Application to renew its waste nuclear
substance licence for the Port Hope Project

Demande concernant le renouvellement du
permis de déchets de substances nucléaires
pour le projet de Port Hope

Commission Public Hearing

Audience publique de la Commission

November 22, 2022

22 novembre 2022

Senior Tribunal Officer, Secretariat
Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission
280 Slater Street P.O. Box 1046, Station B
Ottawa, Ontario K1P 5S9

October 11 2022

Subject: Canadian Nuclear Laboratories' application for a 10-year renewal of the Port Hope Project Waste Nuclear Substance Licence for the completion of the Port Hope Area Initiative - Reference Number: 2022-H-13.

Dear Secretariat:

We are writing as two long-term residents of Port Hope, concerned citizens from Dorset Street West who are affected by the cleanup of historic low level radioactive waste.

Once the remedial work began on streets near us, we became alarmed by the nature of it: the extensive clearcutting of trees, the large-scale digging, the prolonged noise and dust. We were further troubled by the demands we needed to meet in order to receive a letter of compliance, the document that officially declared a property clean.

We requested and got a meeting with PHAI/CNL
It was well attended by residents and the senior-most members of PHAI/CNL
To be blunt, we didn't like the answers we got that evening
But it began a useful and on-going, frank dialogue between parties
Subsequently PHAI/CNL made adjustments
They are to be commended for this

We continued to be active and took our concerns to town council
Chief among these was how high the bar was set for the clean up of arsenic
Subsequently CNL formally requested a legal lowering of that threshold, a matter to be heard in the months ahead

More issues are sure to arise as the clean up continues.
Therefore, a productive dialogue, as we have had, will be critically needed.
Because PHAI/CNL has so far proven willing to be a good partner in working with us to address problems and given that they commit to continue to be so, I ask that you look favourably on their application.

Thank you for the opportunity to intervene in this matter

Henry Kowalski and Michael Stone

PS We choose to intervene only in writing and not in person