2017 September 28

Mr. Brian Torrie
Director General, Regulatory Policy Directorate
Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission
280 Slater Street
P.O. Box 1046, Station B
OTTAWA, Ontario K1P 5S9

COMPLIANCE Regulatory Affairs 145-CNNO-17-0027-L

Dear Mr. Torrie:

Canadian Nuclear Laboratories Comments on Draft REGDOC-3.2.1, Public Information and Disclosure

Canadian Nuclear Laboratories (CNL) has reviewed the proposed REGDOC-3.2.1, Public Information and Disclosure and has consulted with its industry partners to produce a set of consolidated comments, which are presented in Attachment A.

CNL appreciates the opportunity to provide comments during the development of this regulatory document.

If you require further information or have any questions regarding this submission, please contact me as indicated below.

Yours sincerely,

Solly Karivelil, Manager

Regulatory Affairs

Phone: 613-584-3311, ext. 48021

Email: solly.karivelil@cnl.ca

SK/mj

Attachment (1)

Chalk River (Ontario)

286, rue Plant

Laboratoires de Chalk River

2017 September 28 145-CNNO-17-0028-L

UNRESTRICTED

c J. LeClair (CNSC) Consultations (CNSC)

S. Cotnam D. Cox K. Daniels S. Faught J.D. Garrick K. Kehler H. Khartabil T. Preisig P. Quinn J. Stone R. Swartz J. West

>CR CNSC Site Office >CR Licensing

Attachment A Canadian Nuclear Laboratories Comments on Draft REGDOC-3.2.1, Public Information and Disclosure

#	Document	Industry Issue	Suggested Change (if applicable)	Major Comment/	Impact on Industry, if major comment
	/Excerpt of			Request for	
	Section			Clarification	
1.	Title	CNL recognizes the CNSC has added	Recognizing that potential	Request for	
		"Public and Aboriginal engagement"	confusion exists whenever	Clarification	
		to the title of this document to	requirements on a single subject		
		identify it as one element in its	are listed in more than one		
		series of regulatory documents on	Regulatory Document, CNL		
		this subject.	encourages the CNSC to		
			thoroughly map <i>REGDOC-3.2.1</i>		
		While appropriate, there is a	against REGDOC 3.2.2 to ensure		
		potential for confusion and	requirements align and are not		
		inconsistencies since requirements	duplicated.		
		for Aboriginal interfaces are also			
		detailed in REGDOC-3.2.2: Aboriginal	A similar concern is expressed in		
		Engagement.	comment #3.		
2.	2.2.2	This republication is an opportune	Amend the 1 st sentence to read,	Request for	
		time for the CNSC to refine this	"The public information program	Clarification	
		section, which requires licensees to	shall define the target audiences,		
		define target audiences and the	and the rationale utilized for their		
		rationale for their inclusion while	inclusion." The program shall also		
		also providing the rationale for	document the rationale for		
		excluding groups interested in	exclusion of public sectors who		
		becoming part of the target	explicitly have expressed interest		
		audience.	in becoming part of the target		
			audience."		

#	Document /Excerpt of Section	Industry Issue	Suggested Change (if applicable)	Major Comment/ Request for Clarification	Impact on Industry, if major comment
		Excluding groups may have been necessary when <i>RD/GD-99.3</i> was first introduced and public information programs were in development. However, most of today's programs are mature and the need for exclusions seems unnecessary and unintentionally confrontational. If a target audience is properly identified and the criteria for doing so accepted by the CNSC, it should be readily apparent which audiences meet the criteria and why.			
3.	2.2.4	This document adds the requirement to post a summary of probabilistic safety assessments on licensee websites. This requirement is also included as guidance under Section 5 of REGDOC-2.4.2, Safety Analysis: Probabilistic Safety Assessment. Listing identical requirements in two different REGDOCs can result in inconsistencies and confusion.	It is suggested that a parking lot item be noted for the next update to REGDOC-2.4.2 to either delete Section 5: Guidance on Public Disclosure, or update it to strictly provide guidance on the contents of the PSA summary.	Request for Clarification	



#	Document	Industry Issue	Suggested Change (if applicable)	Major Comment/	Impact on Industry, if major comment
	/Excerpt of	·		Request for	, , , ,
	Section			Clarification	
4.	2.2.4	 CNL is concerned that the new requirement to post the full text of environmental risk assessments on licensee websites does not: 1. Properly address the public's need for contextual information in a usable, reader-friendly format. 2. Meet the very intent of this REGDOC, which the 3rd bullet on page 5 describes as ensuring "information is presented in a manner that is understandable to the public, preferably using plain, non-technical language." 3. Respect the disclosure obligations licensees have with regard to protected or security-sensitive information. 	Amend the 2 nd sentence in the 1 st paragraph to read, "As part of this program, if a licensee is required to conduct an environmental risk assessment (ERA) and/or a probabilistic safety assessment (PSA), the ERA and a summariesy of the PSA-these assessments must be posted on the licensee's website." This would ensure the consistent application of posting requirements and public access to contextual, reader-friendly summaries of highly-technical material. As appropriate, licensees could provide fuller versions of the assessments - redacted to satisfy any legal disclosure obligations - to individual stakeholders upon request.	MAJOR	For some facilities, environmental risk and probabilistic safety assessments contain information that is either classified, discusses export-controlled nuclear technology or protected from disclosure under the <i>Access to Information Act</i> . In some cases, this material may provide a source of information that fosters a threat or informs a malicious act. As a result, this information would need to be redacted from the full document. In addition, ERAs and PSAs are highly technical and hundreds of pages in length. This makes them of little value to the general public and could lead to undue concern and confusion without further explanation or perspective. If licensees were to post summaries of both assessments, the technical information would be consistent, condensed and contextualized. This would help mitigate potential safety concerns and meet the REGDOC's intent to inform the public "using plain, non-technical language".

#	Document	Industry Issue	Suggested Change (if applicable)	Major Comment/	Impact on Industry, if major comment
	/Excerpt of			Request for	
	Section			Clarification	
5.	2.3.2	This section encourages licensees to	CNL suggests a more balanced list	Request for	
		"gain an understanding of what	of examples be provided that	Clarification	
		information the public wishes to	accurately reflects "information		
		know," but most of the examples	the public wishes to know" and		
		provided as guidance come from a	the need to provide information		
		negative premise. This incorrectly	"linked to the public's perception		
		suggests the public is primarily	of risk."		
		interested in information regarding			
		unplanned events such as fires,	In addition to the examples		
		earthquakes, industrial accidents,	already provided in this REGDOC,		
		etc.	the CNSC is encouraged to add		
			some of the information requests		
		This is not reflected in industry data	licensees most often receive.		
		on public inquires, which confirms	These include:		
		most information requests are			
		related to subjects like employment	Employment opportunities		
		opportunities or how nuclear energy	Safety initiatives/milestones		
		is produced.	Emergency preparedness		
			initiatives, including KI pill		
			distribution.		
			How a nuclear power plant		
			works		
			Sponsorship opportunities		
			Tour/visit inquiries		
			Site activities impacting traffic		

#	Document	Industry Issue	Suggested Change (if applicable)	Major Comment/	Impact on Industry, if major comment
	/Excerpt of			Request for	
	Section			Clarification	
6.	Glossary	Several terms defined in this	Remove the Glossary from this	Request for	
	terms	document's Glossary are	document and refer to the	Clarification	
		inconsistent with the definitions in	definitions in <i>REGDOC-3.6.</i>		
		REGDOC-3.6. Glossary of CNSC	Glossary of CNSC Terminology.		
		Terminology. For example, "Event" is			
		defined in a preferable manner in			
		REGDOC-3.6, which describes it as,			
		"Any occurrencepotential			
		consequences of which <u>may be</u>			
		significant from the point of view of			
		protection or safety."			