



CMD 26-H3.72B
CMD 26-H3.73B

Date: 2026-10-27

Supplementary Information

Renseignements supplémentaires

Procedural Accommodation Request from Curve Lake First Nation and Hiawatha First Nation

Demande de mesures d'adaptation procédurale de la Première Nation de Curve Lake et de la Première Nation de Hiawatha

In the matter of

À l'égard de

Cameco Corporation

Application to renew the Class IB nuclear fuel facility operating licence for the Port Hope Conversion Facility for a period of 20 years

Cameco Corporation

Demande visant à renouveler, pour une période de 20 ans, le permis d'exploitation d'une installation de combustible nucléaire de catégorie IB pour l'installation de conversion de Port Hope

Commission Public Hearing

Audience publique de la Commission

November 2026

Novembre 2026

From: Samson, Jude
To: Francis M. Chua
Cc: Delaney Jacobs; Paige Williams; energylead; Mike Howard; Tamara Whitby; Kayla Wright; Salmon, Candace (CNSC/CCSN); Jaimi O'Hara; Paola Cueva; Meghan Sauro; Interventions / Interventions (CNSC/CCSN); Samson, Jude (CNSC/CCSN);
Subject: RE: CNSC Hearing - Port Hope conversion facility licence renewal hearing
Sent: 2026-10-15 8:53:32 AM

Thank you for your message, Francis, and for providing more details about your participation in this upcoming hearing. We look forward to seeing HFN and CLFN in Port Hope and appreciate the offer of opportunities to engage with the Commission.

I write as Candace is away for a couple weeks. What is your availability for a Teams call to discuss your request and any additional requirements you might have specifically around the Port Hope hearing? Additionally, if you could advise whether there's a preference for who presents first as between Curve Lake and Hiawatha, that would be helpful for our scheduling.

I acknowledge your request to receive the Commission's questions in advance of the hearing. As you know, that is not something that the Commission normally does. However, the Commission reviewed your request and considers it to be a procedural accommodation that would allow the Nations to participate more meaningfully in the hearing. With that in mind, the Commission is open to providing you with an outline of the areas in which it is likely to ask for more information. We will aim to provide you with the outline in the week prior to the hearing. That said, the Commission remains free to not ask all the questions it might provide and to ask additional questions that may arise from your oral presentation. In addition, the request below, this response, and the outline we provide will all be added to the public record for this proceeding and published on the CNSC website.

At your convenience, please confirm whether this is acceptable to you, and advise of your availability for a short Teams call.

The Registry looks forward to collaborating with you and facilitating your presentation at another Commission proceeding.

Kind regards,

Jude Samson, LL.B. (he | il)

Deputy Registrar – Commission Registry
Legal and Commission Affairs Branch
Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission
Registraire adjoint – Greffe de la Commission
Direction générale des affaires juridiques et de la Commission
Commission canadienne de sûreté nucléaire
jude.samson@cnscccsn.gc.ca | Tel: 343-576-4569

**PROTECTED SOLICITOR-CLIENT PRIVILEGE
PROTÉGÉ PAR LE SECRET PROFESSIONNEL DE L'AVOCAT**



Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission
Commission canadienne de sûreté nucléaire

The information contained in this email is intended solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). Access, copying or re-use of the email or any information contained therein by any other person is not authorized. If you are not an intended recipient, please notify us immediately by returning the email to the originator.

Ce message est strictement réservé à l'usage du/des destinataire(s) visé(s). Si vous n'êtes pas destinataire de ce message, la consultation ou la reproduction même partielle de ce message et des renseignements qu'il contient est non autorisée. Si ce message vous a été transmis par erreur, veuillez en informer l'expéditeur en lui retournant ce message immédiatement.

From: Francis M. Chua
Sent: October 8, 2026 2:34 PM
To: Salmon, Candace (CNSC/CCSN)
Cc: Samson, Jude (CNSC/CCSN); Delaney Jacobs; Paige Williams; energylead; Mike Howard; Tamara Whitby; Kayla Wright; Jaimi O'Hara; Paola Cueva; Meghan Sauro
Subject: Re: CNSC Hearing - Port Hope conversion facility licence renewal hearing

EXTERNAL EMAIL – USE CAUTION / COURRIEL EXTERNE – FAITES PREUVE DE PRUDENCE

Hi Candace. Thanks for a nice first day!

Both CLFN and HFN have now submitted written interventions as of today. Our hope is that we will have a 7 slide deck each. We will speak to a summary of the written intervention. We are thinking of using the Angela, Paige videos again. We are thinking of using Lily (youth) speaking notes from the Pickering hearing again.

We can start at 1pm on the afternoon of Nov 3...or whatever might be after lunch. We will be there in person likely 2 from Curve Lake and 2 from Hiawatha. We can all sit together at the same time and answer questions after

each presentation and also open to answering more questions in combination after both presentations. We do not intend to do any opening/closing.

We would like to request the President and Commission Members provide us with any questions ahead of time so that we can have some time to think about it before answering. The questions can be based on the themes from the Pickering hearing, the written submissions for the Cameco licence application, anything at all that they'd like to ask. We would also request that they ask spontaneous questions and we will do our best to answer in the moment. They can direct their questions to one or both at the same time even if not in the sequence of the presentation deck. We will pick up on the attempt at a conversation like at the BWXT mid-term.

We will invite CNSC Commission Members, CNSC Leadership, CNSC staff to join us to do something for the holidays (like a baking activity with us) or a winter get together (which can also include a baking activity) or a summer/fall paddle (which will also include a baking activity if we still have a baking inventory to use up!).

All that said, I'm projecting 20 min for CLFN and 20 min for HFN + however many minutes for Q&A (which I'd like to suggest be around the 20 to 40 min combined time)...more than that...I would be overbaked...less than that...then we aren't really having a conversation.

Thanks...good to meet on Teams after your long week...whenever you are sufficiently recovered.

~ Francis



Date **2026-10-27**

To / À Curve Lake First Nation
 Hiawatha First Nation

From / De Jude Samson, Deputy Registrar

Subject / Objet **Accommodation Request: Cameco Corporation's application to
renew the Class IB nuclear fuel facility operating licence for the
Port Hope Conversion Facility**

Further to the Commission Registry's earlier email correspondence regarding an accommodation request from Hiawatha First Nation (HFN) and Curve Lake First Nation (CLFN), we are writing to provide you with the following questions from the Commission.

As mentioned, these are areas in which the Commission may ask for more information during the hearing. However, the Commission may not ask all the questions below, and/or may ask additional questions that may arise from your oral presentation.

Question for Curve Lake First Nation (CLFN)

- How does CLFN see themselves participating in a new soil monitoring program?

Question for Hiawatha First Nation (HFN)

- How does HFN see their continued participation in both Cameco and CNSC monitoring activities should the proposed licence go ahead?

Questions for both HFN and CLFN

- Both HFN and CLFN have addressed the significance of the proposed 20-year licence term and the importance of opportunities for participation during that period. What would you consider to be the most important elements of participation in an effective periodic review process?

- Both Nations refer to meaningful meetings, communications, and reviews. What elements do the Nations see as giving those meetings, communications, and reviews the most impact?
- Looking ahead over the proposed licence period, what would meaningful engagement by Cameco and the CNSC look like to your Nation?
- Can you tell us more about the nature of your relationship with Cameco? Do you have any concerns, either with the facility itself or the engagement?
- Is there anything additional that CLFN and HFN would like to see in interactions with Cameco?
- Is there anything being proposed by the application that could result in a new or novel impact to the rights of CLFN or HFN?